Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group

Ad Hoc Committee on Strategic Planning

Report to AMWG, June 2000

Strategic Plan Update

This document consists of the following components, which should be viewed as an integrated whole. Together, they guide the work of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group.

- Vision and Mission
- Principles
- Goals
- Objectives
- Glossary

Vision and Mission

The Grand Canyon is a homeland for some, sacred to many, and a national treasure for all. In honor of past generations, and on behalf of those of the present and future, we envision an ecosystem where the resources and natural processes are in harmony under a stewardship worthy of the Grand Canyon.

We advise the Secretary of the Interior on how best to protect, mitigate adverse impacts to, and improve the integrity of the Colorado River ecosystem affected by Glen Canyon Dam, including natural biological diversity (emphasizing native biodiversity), traditional cultural properties, spiritual values, and cultural, physical, and recreational resources through the operation of Glen Canyon Dam and other means.

We do so in keeping with the federal trust responsibilities to Indian tribes, in compliance with applicable federal, state, and tribal laws, including the water delivery obligations of the Law of the River, and with due consideration to the economic value of power resources.

This will be accomplished through our long-term partnership utilizing the best available scientific and other information through an adaptive ecosystem management process.

Principles

The Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group embraces the following Principles. They guided development of the Goals and Objectives for the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Program (GCDAMP). These Principles are:

- 1. The Goals represent a set of desired outcomes that together will accomplish our Vision and achieve the purpose of the Grand Canyon Protection Act. Some of the Objectives and actions that fall under these Goals may not be the responsibility of the GCDAMP, and may be funded by other sources, but are included here for completeness.
- 2. The construction of Glen Canyon Dam and the introduction of non-native species have irreversibly changed the Colorado River ecosystem.
- 3. Much remains unknown about the Colorado River ecosystem below Glen Canyon Dam and how to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem Goals.
- 4. The Colorado River ecosystem is a managed ecosystem. An ecosystem management approach, in lieu of an issues, species, or resources approach, will guide our efforts. Management efforts will prevent any further human-induced extirpation or extinction of native species.
- 5. An adaptive management approach will be used to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem Goals, through experimentation and monitoring, to meet the intent of the Grand Canyon Protection Act, the Environmental Impact Statement, and the Record of Decision.
- 6. Management actions, including changes in dam operations, will be tried that attempt to return ecosystem patterns and processes to their range of natural variability. When this is not appropriate, or beyond the range of operational flexibility of the dam, experiments will be conducted to test other approaches.
- 7. Because management actions to achieve a Goal may benefit one resource or value and adversely affect another, those action alternatives that benefit all resources and values will be pursued first. When this is not possible, actions that have a neutral impact, or as a last resort, actions that minimize negative impacts on other resources will be pursued, consistent with the final Glen Canyon Dam EIS and the Record of Decision.
- 8. Recognizing the diverse perspectives and spiritual values of the stakeholders, the unique aesthetic value of the Grand Canyon will be respected and enhanced.

Goal 1. Protect or improve the aquatic foodbase so that it will support viable populations of <u>desired</u> species at higher trophic levels

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Maintain or attain | Algae and periphyton | Biomass Composition | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to Paria River | 17.5 g/m² (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ 2.7 g/m² (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ 49.60% <i>Cladophora</i> 33.10% Chlorophyta 9.10% <i>Fontinalis</i> 3.35% Chromophyta 2.40% Rhodophyta 2.50% Cyanobacteria | 150 g/m ²⁽²⁷⁾ Obtain from literature | Also see McKinney et al. 1999 ⁽²²⁾ Metric is % of algal species that support upright diatoms |
| | | | Production | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m²/time of Cladophora |
| 2 | Maintain or attain | Benthic invertebrates | Biomass Composition | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to Paria River | 5.0 g/m² (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ 1.0 g/m² (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ 0.4% Worms 3.6% <i>Gammarus</i> | 5000 g/m ²⁽²⁷⁾ Information Need | Also see McKinney et al. 1999 ⁽²²⁾ Metric is relative % of species. |
| | | | | | 5.5% Oligochaetes 0.1% Simulium 28.8% Midges 3.8% Miscellaneous 57.7% Gastropoda (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |
| | | | Production | | 1.0% Worms 0.9% Gammarus 35.7% Oligochaete 22.3% Midges (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m²/time |

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|---|
| | 3.6 | | D. | 3.6 | | | |
| 3 | Maintain | Aquatic | Biomass | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | or attain | macrophytes | Composition | from Glen | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | Production | Canyon Dam to Paria River | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m²/time |
| 4 | Maintain | Algae and | Biomass | Mainstem | 12.21 g/m ² (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ | $50 \text{ g/m}^{2(27)}$ | |
| | or attain | periphyton | | below the | $0.35 \text{ g/m}^2 \text{ (Pool)}^{(27)}$ | | |
| | | | Composition | Paria River | 29.9% Cladophora 23.7% MAMB 46.6% Oscillatoria (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ 51.0% Cladophora 48.9% MAMB 0.1% Oscillatoria (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ | Obtain from literature | Metric is relative % of algal species. MAMB is for miscellaneous algae, macrophytes, and bryophytes |
| | | | Production | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m ² /time |

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From | the cu | rrent le | evel | To the target level | Comments |
|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|----------|
| 4 (continued) | Maintain or attain | Algae and periphyton | Distribution | Mainstem below the Paria River | 2 61 68 127 205 61 68 127 205 | 49.3 22.4 8.7 5.6 63.7 | BWVW % le ⁽²⁷⁾ 43.3 43.1 7.2 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 20.0 84.8 28.5 | 7.4 34.5 84.1 82.0 23.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 | Information Need | |

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | _ | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | • | | | |
| 5 | Maintain | Benthic | Biomass | Mainstem | $0.960 \text{ g/m}^2 (\text{Cobble})^{(27)}$ | Obtain from literature | |
| | or attain | invertebrates | | below the | $0.054 \text{ g/m}^2 (\text{Pool})^{(27)}$ | | |
| | | | Composition | Paria River | 0.4% Worm | Obtain from literature | Metric is relative % of |
| | | | | | 7.1% Gammarus | | species. |
| | | | | | 8.2% Oligochaete | | |
| | | | | | 4.3% Simulium | | |
| | | | | | 55.4% Chironomid | | |
| | | | | | 3.6% Miscellaneous | | |
| | | | | | 21.0% Gastropod | | |
| | | | | | (Cobble) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 0.4% Worm | | |
| | | | | | 1.1% Gammarus | | |
| | | | | | 30.1% Oligochaete | | |
| | | | | | 14.3% Simulium | | |
| | | | | | 48.9% Chironomid | | |
| | | | | | 1.2% Miscellaneous | | |
| | | | | | 4.0% Gastropod | | |
| | | | | | (Pool) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |
| | | | Production | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is g/m ² /time |
| | | | Distribution | | 20 Worms | Information Need | |
| | | | | | 500 Gammarus | | |
| | | | | | 120 Oligochaetes | | |
| | | | | | 10 Simulium | | |
| | | | | | 2150 Midges | | |
| | | | | | 20 Miscellaneous | | |
| | | | | | 1580 Gastropod | | |
| | | | | | (Cobble at Mile 2) ⁽²⁷⁾ | | |

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Maintain | Aquatic | Biomass | Mainstem | Obtain from literature | Information Need | |
| | or attain | macrophytes | Composition | below the | Obtain from literature | Information Need | |
| | | | Production | Paria River | Obtain from literature | Information Need | |
| | | | Distribution | | Obtain from literature | Information Need | |
| 7 | Maintain | Foodbase | Abundance | Mainstem | $0.024 \text{ g/m}^3/\text{s} \text{ (Plants)}$ | Obtain from literature | |
| | or attain | drift | | below GCD | $0.056 \text{ g/m}^3/\text{s}$ (Detritus) | | |
| | | | | | $0.001 \text{ g/m}^3/\text{s} \text{ (Inverts)}$ | | |
| | | | Composition | | 29.2% (Plants) | Obtain from literature | CPOM is coarse |
| | | | | | 69.3% (Detritus) | | particulate organic |
| | | | | | 1.1% (CPOM inverts) | | matter. FPOM is fine |
| | | | | | 0.4% (FPOM inverts) | | particulate OM. |

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|----------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|---|---|---|--|
| <u>l</u> | | | | • | · | | |
| 8 | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub (150 mm and larger) | Abundance | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | 8096 individuals ^(3; 36) | Information Need | Target to be based on 91-96 population estimate, PVA, & N _e |
| | | | | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | 225 individuals ^(3; 36) | Information Need | Target to be based on 91-96 population estimate, PVA, & N _e |
| 9 | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub (51 mm to 150 mm) | Abundance | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Obtain from literature | Information Need | Metric is "catch per unit effort" (CPUE). See Gorman and Bramblett. ⁽⁹⁾ See synthesis by Coggins. |
| | | | | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | 0-74 captures/trip ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| 10 | Establish | Humpback chub | Populations | CRE downstream of GCD | One self-sustaining population in the LCR | One additional self- sustaining population | |

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------|---------------------|---|
| 11 | Attain | Humpback chub | | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | Health | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 12 | Maintain or attain | Humpback chub | Spawning | LCR and mainstem within 3 miles of LCR | Information Need | Information Need | See Gorman and Bramblett. ⁽⁹⁾ |
| | | | | Mainstem except within 3 miles of the LCR | Information Need | Information Need | See Gorman and Bramblett. (9) |

Goal 2. Maintain or attain viable populations of existing native fish and remove jeopardy from humpback chub and razorback sucker.

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|----------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Reduce | Non-native | Predation on | CRE below | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is rate of |
| | | fish | native fish | GCD | | | predation. See Gorman |
| | | | | | | | and Bramblett. (9) |
| | | | Competition | CRE below | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | | | with native | GCD | | | |
| | | | fish | | | | |
| 14 | Attain | Razorback | Populations | CRE below | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | Target is capability of |
| | | sucker | | GCD | | | the habitat to support |
| | | | | | | | the species |
| 15 | Maintain | Flannelmouth | Abundance | CRE below | 113 captures (5.3%) ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | Appropriate metric to be |
| | | sucker | | GCD | | | determined |
| | | Bluehead | | | 41 captures (1.9%) ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | Appropriate metric to be |
| | | sucker | | | _ | | determined |
| | | Speckled | | | 391 captures (18.2%) ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | Appropriate metric to be |
| | | dace | | | | | determined |

Goal 3. Restore populations of extirpated species, as feasible.

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------|-------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Restore | Colorado | Abundance | CRE | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | pikeminnow | | downstream | | | |
| | | Bonytail | | of GCD | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | Roundtail | | | 0 individuals ⁽⁹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | Chub | | | | | |
| | | River otter | | | 0 individuals ⁽¹⁰⁾ | Information Need | |

Goal 4. Maintain a wild reproducing population of rainbow trout above <u>Lees Ferrythe Paria River</u>, to the extent practicable and consistent with the maintenance of viable populations of native fish.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Maintain or attain | Rainbow trout | Abundance Growth rate Condition | Mainstem from Glen Canyon Dam to Paria River | 262,000 Age II+ individuals ⁽²³⁾ 15" by Age III ⁽²³⁾ W _r = 0.82 ⁽²³⁾ | $100,000 \text{ Age II+}$ individuals 18" by Age III $W_r = 0.90$ | |
| | | | Health | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is level of disease and parasite infections |
| | | | Spawning | | Information Need | Information Need | |

Goal 5. Establish water temperature, quality, and flow dynamics to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| 18 | Attain | Water | Temperature range | Mainstem | 6.93-18.56°C ⁽¹⁷⁾ | Use decision process | Target may include several stations in the mainstem. |
| | | | Seasonal variability of temperature | | Information Need | Use decision process | |
| 19 | Maintain | Water | Quality | Mainstem | Information Need (for the specific water quality parameters to use). | Obtain from literature and use decision process | Parameters may include nutrients, salinity, pH, DO, nitrogen, phosphorus, microbes, and others. Data available from NASQWAN ⁽³⁵⁾ |
| 20 | Maintain | Flow dynamics | Power plant operations | Mainstem | ROD operating criteria | ROD operating criteria | |
| | | | BHBF flows | | Maximum 45,000 cfs (March to April) | Use decision process | |
| | | | Habitat maintenance flows | | ROD operating criteria | Use decision process | |

Goal 6. Increase fine sediment storage Maintain or attain levels of sediment within the main channel and along shorelines to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---|---|---------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Maintain or attain | Sediment | Abundance | Main channel below power plant capacity | 329,000 m ³ (35 sites) ^{(12;} | Information Need | Metric is area (m²) and volume (m³) as a rolling average. Target level should consider spawning habitat for trout in Glen Canyon. |
| | | | Grain-size | | 0.3-0.4 mm ^(12; 31) | Information Need | Also see Kondolf. (16) Target level should consider spawning habitat for trout in Glen Canyon. |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is # sandbars by reach. Target level should consider spawning habitat for trout in Glen Canyon. |
| 22 | Maintain or attain | Sediment | Abundance | Eddies up to power plant capacity | 289,120 m ³ (35 sites) ^{(12;} | Information Need | Metric is area (m ²) and volume (m ³) as a rolling average |
| | | | Grain-size |] | 0.15-0.18 mm ^(12; 31) | Information Need | |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is # sandbars by reach |

Goal 6. Increase fine sediment storage Maintain or attain levels of sediment within the main channel and along shorelines to achieve GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| 23 | Maintain or attain | Sediment | Abundance | Shorelines between power plant capacity and | 0.37m (Glen Canyon) 0.60m (Marble Canyon) 0.80m (Grand Canyon) | Information Need | Metric is area (m ²) and volume (m ³) as a rolling average |
| | | | Grain-size | maximum | 0.15-0.18mm ⁽³¹⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | | Distribution | BHBF | Information Need | Information Need | Metric is # sandbars by reach |

Goal 7. Maintain or attain viable populations of Kanab ambersnail.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|--|
| 24 | Attain and maintain | Kanab ambersnail | Population | Vasey's Paradise AZ (except Vasey's Paradise) | 7100 (April 1999) 6400 (May 1999) 20,000 (July 1999) 35,000 (Sept/Oct 1999) (Individuals below 70,000 cfs stage) ⁽²⁴⁾ 3 individuals (Keyhole) 21 individuals (Elves) 0 individuals (Deer Creek) ⁽¹⁾ | Information Need Information Need | The metric is the population parameter(s) that indicate viability. Target is a viable population. The metric is the population parameter(s) that indicate viability. Target is a viable population. |
| 25 | Maintain | Kanab ambersnail | Habitat | Vasey's Paradise | 82-99m ² (monkeyflower) 36.6 m ² (watercress) (area below 70,000 cfs stage) ⁽²⁴⁾ | Information Need | Target is level needed to sustain a viable population. |

Goal 8. Protect the presence of southwestern willow flycatcher and its critical habitat in a manner consistent with riparian ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Maintain or increase | Southwest willow flycatcher | Abundance | CRE below GCD | 12 breeding pairs ⁽²⁶⁾ | Information Need | Target is the capability of the habitat to support the species |
| | | | Distribution | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | Target is the capability of the habitat to support the species |
| | | | Fledging success | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | See GCMRC ⁽⁷⁾ |
| 27 | Maintain | Southwest willow flycatcher | Habitat | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 28 | Reduce | Brown-headed cowbird | Brood parasitism | CRE | 50% of nests parasitized ⁽²⁾ | Information Need | |

Goal 9. Protect or improve the biotic riparian and spring communities.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|---------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <u></u> | uction . | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | 1 | <u> </u> | |
| 29 | Maintain | Marsh | Abundance | CRE below GCD | 1215 patches (4.6 ha) ⁽⁷⁾ | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Composition | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| 30 | Maintain | New high water zone | Abundance | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Composition | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| 31 | Maintain | Old high water zone | Abundance | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Composition | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| 32 | Maintain | Sand beach | Abundance | CRE below GCD | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Composition | 1 | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | See Kearsley ⁽¹⁵⁾ and Stevens et al. ⁽²⁹⁾ |

Goal 9. Protect or improve the biotic riparian and spring communities.

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|----------|----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Maintain | Culturally important | Abundance | CRE below GCD | 157 species (Plants) ^{(21;} | Information Need | |
| | | species | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 34 | Reduce | Invasive non- | Abundance | CRE below | 95+ species (Plants) ⁽²⁸⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | native species | | GCD | 3 species (Birds) ⁽²⁸⁾ | | |
| | | | Distribution | | Information Need | Information Need | |

Goal 10. Maintain or improve the quality of recreational experiences for users of the Colorado River ecosystem, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Maintain | Visitor | Physical access and safety | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | Target level should be within the capacity of the CRE to absorb visitor impacts. Target level should consider GLCA and GRCA Management Plans. See Myers et al. (25) |
| 36 | Maintain or improve | Recreational spectrum | Quality and quantity | Glen Canyon | Information Need | GLCA Management Plan levels | NPS studies underway. |
| 37 | Maintain | Camping | Size | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | |
| | or increase | beaches | Quality | | Information Need | Information Need | Metric includes parameters for vegetation, sanitation, and shade |
| | | | Number | | 262 campsites ⁽¹⁴⁾ | Information Need | |
| | | | Distribution | | 37% of campsites in critical reaches ⁽¹⁴⁾ | Information Need | |
| 38 | Maintain or improve | Rapids | Navigability | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need and Decision Process | Target level to be developed from NPS on-river accident rates. See Myers et al. (25) |

Goal 10. Maintain or improve the quality of recreational experiences for users of the Colorado River ecosystem, within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Maintain or enhance | Experience | Wilderness | Grand Canyon | Information Need | Information Need | Metric to include parameters for primitive character, unconfined experience, undeveloped natural and wild character, opportunities for solitude, sounds of nature and scenic beauty. |

Goal 11. Maintain or increase power and energy generation within the framework of GCDAMP ecosystem goals.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Maintain | Power | Generation | GCD | ROD and current | Information Need | |
| | or increase | | flexibility | | operating practices ⁽³³⁾ | | |

Goal 12. <u>Preserve, protect, manage, and treat</u> <u>Cc</u>ultural resources <u>within the river corridor shall be preserved, protected, managed and treated</u> for the inspiration and benefit of past, present and future generations.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| 41 | Preserve | Register- eligible properties | National Register integrity | APE | Information Need | 100% of extant historic properties | Target level should consider recreational impacts. See USBR ⁽³²⁾ and Leap et al. ⁽¹⁹⁾ |
| 42 | Preserve | Other cultural resources | Cultural values | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | Target level should consider recreational impacts. |
| 43 | Attain and maintain | Management action | Consultation | CRE | Information Need | 100% of management actions | See USBR ⁽³²⁾ |
| 44 | Protect and maintain | Traditional cultural resources | Physical access | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | See USBR ⁽³⁴⁾ |
| 45 | Integrate | Information | Cultural and other resources | CRE | Synthesis report ⁽³⁰⁾ | Information Need | |

Goal 13. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|---|--|---------------|--|------------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| 46 | Maintain | Socio- | Hydropower | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | The current level is how |
| | or attain | economic data | Air quality | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | much socioeconomic data we have on the |
| | | data | Wilderness | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | attributes. The target |
| | | | Recreation | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | level is how much |
| | | | Non-use values | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | socioeconomic data is needed for adequate |
| | | | Tribal & spiritual values | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | decision-making. |
| 47 | Attain and maintain | Monitoring and research program | Natural, cultural, and recreational resources | CRE | GCMRC Strategic Plan | Updated GCMRC Strategic Plan | |
| 48 | Attain and maintain | AMP composed of all stakeholders | That acknowledges uncertainty and uses experimentati on, monitoring & research | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | |
| 49 | Attain and maintain | Full tribal participation | Funding | AMP | \$75,000 (Appropriated) \$400,000 (Power revenues) | \$475,000 (Appropriated in FY2002) | |

Goal 13. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|---|----------------------|--|
| | ue tron | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | |
| 50 | Conduct | Experimental flows | Flow dynamics | Mainstem | Information Need | Information Need | See GCMRC, (6) Webb et al. (37) and Topping et al. (31) Target level is the experiments needed to gain critical understanding of ecosystem function. |
| 51 | Conduct | Management experiments | Other management actions | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | Target level is the experiments needed to gain critical understanding of management alternatives. |
| 52 | Build | AMP | Public support | N/A | Information Need | Information Need | Metric should include GCMRC and BOR web pages; GCD programs and tours; AMWG Outreach Committee; publications; various AMWG member activities. |
| 53 | Maintain or attain | Funding | Foundation and Corporate | N/A | \$0 | Information Need | |
| | | | Appropriated | N/A | \$75,000 (FY2000) | \$1,485,000 (FY2002) | |
| | | | State Agency | N/A | Obtain from literature | Information Need | |
| | | | Power revenues | N/A | \$6.22M (for GCMRC) \$1.443M (for BOR) | Information Need | |

Goal 13. Maintain a high-quality monitoring, research, and adaptive management program.

| ID# | Perform some action | On some element | On some attribute | At some place | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|-----------------------|-----------------|--|---------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 54 | Maintain or attain | Participation | Externally- funded investigators | CRE | Information Need | Information Need | Current and target levels should include small and cost-shared projects in NPS, AGFD, etc. |

Goal 14. Build a broad, effective outreach program. NOTE: This goal is now part of Goal 13.

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------|---------|-----------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |

Goal 15. Broaden the funding base to achieve GCDAMP Goals and Objectives. NOTE: This goal is now part of Goal 13.

| ID# | Perform | On some | On some | At some | From the current level | To the target level | Comments |
|-----|---------|---------|-----------|---------|------------------------|---------------------|----------|
| | some | element | attribute | place | | | |
| | action | | | | | | |

Glossary

ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Adaptive management is an iterative process, designed to experimentally compare selected management actions by evaluating alternative hypotheses about the ecosystem being managed. It consists of three parts: management actions, monitoring, and adaptation. Management actions are treated as experiments subject to modification. Monitoring is conducted to detect the effects of the management actions. Finally, management actions are refined based on the enhanced understanding about how the ecosystem responds.

BIODIVERSITY

Biodiversity is "the variety of organisms considered at all levels, from genetic variants belonging to the same species through arrays of species to arrays of genera, families, and still higher taxonomic levels [including] ... the variety of ecosystems..." (38)

BIOTIC COMMUNITY

A biotic community is a "group of organisms \dots that co-occur in the same habitat or area and interact through trophic and spatial relationships..." (20)

COLORADO RIVER ECOSYSTEM

The Colorado River ecosystem is the Colorado River mainstem corridor and interacting resources in associated riparian and terrace zones, located primarily from the forebay of Glen Canyon Dam to the western boundary of Grand Canyon National Park. It includes the downstream inundation level to which dam operations impact physical, biological, recreational, cultural, and other resources. The scope of GCDAMP activities may include limited investigations into some tributaries (e.g., the Little Colorado and Paria Rivers).

CONCEPTUAL MODEL

A conceptual model is an "assessment of the dynamics of the more important compartments and fluxes of material or energy in a system [*i.e.*, patterns and processes], or of changes in a population." A conceptual model is a heuristic tool to provide a framework for thinking about how an ecosystem functions and to discover gaps in our knowledge.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources includes, but is not necessarily limited to, any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, landscape, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register, including artifacts, records, and material remains related to such a property or resource. Properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe are included in this definition under Section 101(d)(6)(A) of NHPA.

ECOSYSTEM

An ecosystem is "a community of organisms and their physical environment interacting as an ecological unit." An ecosystem consists of patterns and processes that are dynamic and occur within a particular range of temporal and spatial variability.

ECOSYSTEM INTEGRITY

Ecosystem integrity is "the ability to support and maintain a balanced, integrated, adaptive biological system having the full range of elements (genes, species, and assemblages) and

Glossary

processes (mutation, demography, biotic interactions, nutrient and energy dynamics, and metapopulation processes) expected in the natural habitat of a region." Ecosystem integrity is related to ecosystem resilience (*i.e.*, the capacity to maintain characteristic patterns and processes) following a disturbance.

ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT

An ecosystem management approach differs from an issue-, species-, or resource-specific approach. Ecosystem management is a method for sustaining or restoring ecosystems and their functions and values. "It is goal driven, and it is based on a collaboratively developed vision of desired future conditions that integrates ecological, economic, and social factors. It is applied within a geographic framework defined primarily by ecological boundaries." (11) Ecosystem management is a process that attempts to mimic appropriate ecosystem patterns (abundance and distribution of species and habitats) and ecosystem processes (drivers of ecosystem patterns). It includes managing for viable populations of all native species.

ECOSYSTEM PATTERNS

Ecosystem pattern is the abundance of species, biotic communities, and physical habitats, as well as their spatial and temporal distribution. This is a broader concept than "composition and structure." Composition usually refers only to species presence or absence, and structure usually refers to the distribution of biotic communities.

ECOSYSTEM PROCESSES

Ecosystem processes are the abiotic (*i.e.*, non-living) and biotic (*i.e.*, living) functions, disturbances, or events that shape ecosystem patterns. There are physical processes (*e.g.*, fire, hydrologic, geomorphic, and climatic regimes; air chemistry, nutrient cycling), biological processes (*e.g.*, competition, predation, herbivory, parasitism, disease, migration, dispersal, gene flow, succession, recruitment, maturation), and anthropogenic processes (*e.g.*, habitat conversion, novel toxins, vandalism).

MONITORING

Monitoring is the "collection and analysis of repeated observations or measurements to evaluate changes in condition and progress toward meeting a management objective." Monitoring needs to produce data of sufficient statistical power to detect a trend if in fact it is occurring. (8) Monitoring differs from inventorying, which is the measurement of environmental attributes at a given point in time to determine what is there. It also differs from research, which is the measurement of environmental attributes to test a specific hypothesis.

RANGE OF NATURAL VARIABILITY

The Range of Natural Variability is the spatial and temporal variation in ecosystem patterns and ecosystem processes under which the ecosystem has evolved. The range of natural variability for ecological processes is usually defined by their frequency (e.g., number/year), intensity (e.g., cubic feet per second), duration (e.g., number of days), magnitude (e.g., acres), seasonally, and rate of change. See Landres⁽¹⁸⁾ for a full discussion.

Glossary

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT ALTERNATIVE

"Reasonable and prudent alternatives refer to alternative actions identified during formal consultation that can be implemented in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the action, that can be implemented consistent with the scope of the Federal agency's legal authority and jurisdiction, that is economically and technologically feasible, and that the Director believes would avoid the likelihood of jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat." (5)

REASONABLE AND PRUDENT M EASURE

"Reasonable and prudent measures refer to those actions the Director believes necessary or appropriate to minimize the impacts, i.e., amount or extent of incidental take." (5)

RECOVERY

Recovery is improvement in the status of a listed species to the point at which listing is no longer appropriate, under the criteria set out in section 4(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act ⁽⁵⁾.

REMOVAL OF JEOPARDY

To "jeopardize the continued existence of [a listed species] means to engage in an action that reasonably would be expected, directly or indirectly, to reduce appreciably the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of a listed species in the wild by reducing the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of that species." Removing (or avoiding) jeopardy is intended to be accomplished through the implementation of reasonable and prudent alternatives.

RIPARIAN ECOSYSTEM

The riparian ecosystem is the streamside zone that is influenced by riverine processes, e.g., flood regime and distance to subsurface water.

RIVERINE ECOSYSTEM

The riverine ecosystem is any area typically inundated by the river.

VIABLE POPULATION

A population is considered viable when there is a high chance of persistence over a long timeframe without demographic or genetic augmentation. Population viability is not the same as "recovery" or "removal of jeopardy" for a species. However, the concept of population viability is an important consideration in determining recovery and removal of jeopardy.

Literature cited

- 1. AGFD. 1999. Kanab ambersnail translocation efforts in Grand Canyon. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 2. Brown, B.T. 1994. Rates of brood parasitism by brown-headed cowbirds on riparian passerines in Arizona. *Journal of Field Ornithology* 65: 160-168.
- 3. Douglas, M.E. and P.C. Marsh. 1996. Population estimates/population movements of *Gila cypha*, an endangered cyprinid fish in the Grand Canyon region of Arizona. *Copeia* 1996: 15-28.
- 4. Elzinga, C.L., et al. 1998. Measuring and monitoring plant populations. BLM.
- 5. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 1986. Interagency cooperation Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended; final rule, June 3. *Federal Register* 51: 19926-19963.
- 6. GCMRC. 1998. Draft GCMRC response to the Glen Canyon TWG (ad-hoc group) request for assessment of a proposal to develop a research plan to analyze resource responses to alternative BHBF and load-following releases from Glen Canyon Dam. Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 7. GCMRC. 1999. The state of natural and cultural resources in the Colorado River ecosystem: 1998 report. Glen Canyon Monitoring and Research Center. Dated 8 Dec 1998.
- 8. Gibbs, J.P., et al. 1998. Monitoring populations of plants and animals. BioScience 48: 935-940.
- 9. Gorman, O.T. and R.G. Bramblett. 1999. Monitoring and studies of native fishes of the Colorado River ecosystem in Grand Canyon, Arizona. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- 10. Hoffmeister, D.F. 1986. Mammals of Arizona. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 11. Interagency Ecosystem Management Task Force. 1995. *The ecosystem approach: healthy ecosystems and sustainable economies.* National Technical Information Service.
- 12. Kaplinski, M., *et al.* 2000. Monitoring fine-sediment storage of the Colorado River ecosystem below Glen Canyon Dam, Arizona. Northern Arizona University Department of Geology.
- 13. Karr, J.R. 1996. Ecological integrity and ecological health are not the same. Pages 97-109 in P. Schulze, ed. *Engineering within ecological constraints*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C.

Literature cited

- 14. Kearsley, L.H., *et al.* 1999. Changes in the number and size of campsites as determined by inventories and measurement. Pages 147-159 in R.H. Webb, *et al.*, eds. *The controlled flood in Grand Canyon*. American Geophysical Union.
- 15. Kearsley, M.J.C., *et al.* 1999. Second year transition monitoring of riparian vegetation from Glen Canyon Dam to Pearce Ferry: draft final report. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 16. Kondolf, G.M. 2000. Assessing salmonid spawning gravel quality. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 129: 262-281.
- 17. Korn, J. and W. Vernieu. 1998. Mainstem and tributary temperature monitoring in Grand Canyon, Arizona. Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 18. Landres, P.B., *et al.* 1999. Overview of the use of natural variability concepts in managing ecological systems. *Ecological Applications* 9: 1179-1188.
- 19. Leap, L.M., *et al.* 2000. Grand Canyon monitoring project 1992-1999: synthesis and annual report FY99. Grand Canyon National Park and Northern Arizona University.
- 20. Lincoln, R., et al. 1998. A dictionary of ecology, evolution and systematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 21. Lomaomvaya, M., *et al.* 1999. Ongtuvaqava sakwtala: Hopi ethnobotany in the Grand Canyon, review draft. Hopi Tribe.
- 22. McKinney, T., et al. 1999. Lotic community responses in the Lees Ferry reach. Pages 249-258 in R.H. Webb, et al., eds. The controlled flood in Grand Canyon. American Geophysical Union.
- 23. McKinney, T.R.S. and W.R. Persons. 1999. Rainbow trout and lower trophic levels in the Lees Ferry tailwater below Glen Canyon Dam, Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 24. Meretsky, V.J. and D.L. Wegner. 1999. Kanab ambersnail at Vaseys Paradise, Grand Canyon National Park, 1998-99 monitoring and research: draft final report. SWCA, Inc.
- 25. Myers, T.M., et al. 1999. Fateful journey: injury and death on Colorado River trips in Grand Canyon. Red Lake Books, Flagstaff.
- 26. Paradzick, C.E., *et al.* 2000. Southwestern willow flycatcher 1999 survey and nest monitoring report. Technical Report 151. Arizona Game and Fish Department.
- 27. Shannon, J.P., *et al.* 1999. Monitoring the aquatic food base in the Colorado River, Arizona during fiscal year 1999. Northern Arizona University. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.

Literature cited

- 28. Stevens, L.E. and T.J. Ayers. In press. The biodiversity and distribution of alien vascular plant and animals in the Grand Canyon region. in B. Tellman, ed. *Alien species in the Sonoran Desert*. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- 29. Stevens, L.E., *et al.* 1995. Geomorphic influences on fluvial marsh development along the dam-regulated Colorado River in the Grand Canyon, Arizona. *Ecological Applications* 5: 1035-1039.
- 30. SWCA. 2000. Cultural resources data synthesis within the Colorado River corridor, Grand Canyon National Park and Glen Canyon National Recreation Area, Arizona. Report prepared for the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center.
- 31. Topping, D.J., *et al.* 2000. Colorado River sediment transport 2. Systematic bed-elevation and grain-size effects of sand supply limitation. *Water Resources Research* 36: 543-570.
- 32. USBR. 1997. Final draft historic preservation plan for cultural resources affected by Glen Canyon Dam operations. Bureau of Reclamation.
- 33. USBR. 1999. 29th Annual Report 2000 Annual Operating Plan for Colorado River system reservoir. Bureau of Reclamation.
- 34. USBR, *et al.* 1993-1994. Programmatic agreement on cultural resources. Dated (signed) between July 1993 and August 1994. 7 pages.
- 35. USGS. 2000. NASQWAN data.
- 36. Valdez, R.A. and R.J. Ryel. 1997. Life history and ecology of the humpback chub in the Colorado River in Grand Canyon, Arizona. Pages 3-31. *Proceedings of the Third Biennial Conference on the Colorado Plateau*. National Park Service, Denver.
- 37. Webb, R.H., et al., eds. 1999. The controlled flood in Grand Canyon. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.
- 38. Wilson, E.O. 1992. *The diversity of life*. Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.